Revista Românească pentru Educație Multidimensională
ISSN: 2066-7329 | e-ISSN: 2067-9270
Covered in: Web of Science (WOS); EBSCO; ERIH+; Google Scholar; Index Copernicus; Ideas RePeC; Econpapers; Socionet; CEEOL; Ulrich ProQuest; Cabell, Journalseek; Scipio; Philpapers; SHERPA/RoMEO repositories; KVK; WorldCat; CrossRef; CrossCheck

2024, Volume 16, Issue 1, pages: 495-505 | https://doi.org/10.18662/rrem/16.1/834
Submitted: December 10th, 2023 | *Accepted for publication:* January 09th, 2024

Enhancing Resilience in Primary Education: Addressing Societal Challenges and Fostering Holistic Student Development

Simona-Gabriela CIUCĂ [1]
Elena-Ancuța ZĂVOIANU [2]

[1] National University of Science and Technology POLITEHNICA Bucharest, Pitești University Centre, Romania, sgciuca@gmail.com
[2] University of Bucharest, Romania, zavoianu.elena.ancuta@gmail.com

Abstract: *This study explores educational resilience in primary education, focusing on how teachers can effectively nurture this crucial trait amidst rapid societal changes and an information-rich environment. Utilizing qualitative focus group discussions with ten primary school teachers, the research examines four key themes: student-teacher dynamic, peer influence, family and community engagement, and school environment.*
The findings reveal that while teachers recognize the importance of building resilience in students, they face challenges due to curriculum demands and resource limitations. Teachers expressed a desire to foster empathetic relationships, positive peer interactions, and engage families and communities but often found these goals hindered by systemic constraints.
This research underscores the need for a holistic approach to education beyond traditional academic learning. It highlights the need for professional development, strengthened school-community partnerships, and educational policy reforms that prioritize comprehensive student development. The study concludes that fostering resilience in primary education requires addressing classroom practices and systemic barriers, aligning with contemporary resilience theories and emphasizing the need for classroom interventions to support students' overall well-being and competence.

Keywords: *resilience, teacher dynamics, peer relationships, family engagement, educational environment.*

How to cite: Ciucă, S.-G., & Zăvoianu, E.-A. (2024). Enhancing resilience in primary education: addressing societal challenges and fostering holistic student development. Revista *Românească pentru Educație Multidimensională, 16*(1), 495-505. https://doi.org/10.18662/rrem/16.1/834

©2024 Published by LUMEN Publishing. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Introduction

In the contemporary era, characterized by rapid societal transformations and an information-rich environment, students' educational journey transcends traditional boundaries of knowledge and skill acquisition. Today's students must develop strategies encompassing emotional regulation, adaptation to academic demands, problem-solving, stress mitigation, and practical action planning. This shift acknowledges the increasing complexity of challenges students face, ranging from academic pressures to personal adversities, necessitating a resilient learning and development approach.

The concept of 'school resilience', as illuminated by Masten and Reed (2002), brings to light the dichotomy within student populations. This dichotomy, consisting of students who are either disenchanted due to academic pressures or invigorated by these challenges, underscores the multifaceted nature of resilience. As per Howard & Johnson (2000) and Pânişoară (2016), resilience is not merely an inherent trait but a dynamic capability shaped through interaction with various environmental factors. This perspective aligns with Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory (1979), which posits that resilience is influenced by a spectrum of environmental systems, extending from family to broader societal contexts.

Additionally, Seligman and Csikszentmihalyi (2000) emphasize the importance of resilience in positive psychology, where the focus is not just on coping with adversity but thriving amidst it. This view is particularly pertinent for primary school students, who require resilience beyond mere adaptation to challenges. At this critical developmental stage, resilience is influenced significantly by protective factors encompassing familial and educational support systems. These systems play an essential role in mitigating adverse experiences and fostering sound decision-making, thereby shaping the student's academic and professional trajectory.

Moreover, Ungar et al. (2007) highlight that resilience is a product of the interplay between individuals and their environments. It suggests that resilience can be nurtured through everyday educational experiences, reflecting the ordinary developmental processes that contribute to resilience. For primary school students, this resilience manifests as the capacity to efficiently manage academic stressors and setbacks, influenced by a combination of familial and educational support systems. Such systems are crucial in circumventing potential pitfalls that, if unaddressed, could detrimentally impact a student's life course.

In summary, the contemporary educational landscape demands a resilience-oriented approach, where students are equipped with academic knowledge and the skills to navigate the complexities of their environments. This approach recognizes the role of diverse environmental systems in shaping resilience and underscores the importance of nurturing this trait through everyday education.

Determinants of Educational Resilience

A complex interplay of factors shapes educational resilience: the student-teacher dynamic, peer influence, family and community engagement, and the broader school environment.

Student-Teacher Dynamic: The student-teacher relationship is a cornerstone of fostering resilience. Gherasim and Butnaru (2013) and Chen (2005) emphasize the impact of empathetic and supportive teacher-student interactions on enhancing students' self-confidence and resilience. As Henderson and Milstein (2003) suggest, nurturing these relationships extends beyond academic learning to provide guidance and mentorship.

Peer Influence: Peer relationships significantly influence resilience development. Wentzel (1998) highlights that positive peer interactions are crucial for social and emotional development. Such relationships offer support networks that mitigate stress and foster a sense of belonging, which is crucial for resilience in the school setting.

Family and Community Engagement: Family and community play an instrumental role in shaping a child's resilience. Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory (1979) and the work of Christenson and Reschly (2010) underline the importance of supportive environments, suggesting that active family involvement and community support provide essential resources and stability for a child's resilience.

School Environment: The overall school environment, including policies and curricula, significantly impacts resilience development. Rutter (1987) and Greenberg et al. (2003) posit that schools that create a supportive, inclusive, and emotionally intelligent atmosphere contribute to the development of resilience. A conducive environment helps students feel safe, valued, and connected, fostering conditions necessary for resilience to flourish.

Fostering resilience in education requires a holistic approach considering various factors influencing a student's life. It involves creating supportive relationships, encouraging positive peer interactions, engaging families and communities, and developing inclusive and nurturing school environments. These elements collectively shape the resilience of students,

preparing them for the challenges and opportunities of the contemporary world

Research Methodology and Analysis

Utilizing a qualitative framework, this study employed focus group discussions to investigate the dynamics within the educational environment, specifically focusing on factors influencing student resilience in primary education. The methodology aligns with the approach that Cohen et al. (2011) outlined in emphasizing the importance of in-depth, qualitative insights for educational research.

Data Collection Procedure

The study involved conducting focus groups with ten female primary school teachers, aged between 25 and 54, from urban educational settings. This demographic was selected based on experience and diversity in teaching backgrounds. The setting of the focus groups within the educational institutions was a deliberate choice, intended to provide a comfortable and familiar environment that would encourage open dialogue.

Before the commencement of the session, participants were informed about the confidentiality and anonymity of their responses, in line with EU Regulation 679/2016, ensuring ethical compliance, as highlighted by Silverman (2016).

The focus group discussions were structured around four key themes, reflecting the multifaceted nature of resilience in educational settings:

Student-Teacher Dynamic: This theme explored the nature of interactions between teachers and students, focusing on how these relationships influence resilience. The discussions were informed by the works of Gherasim and Butnaru (2013) and Chen (2005), who emphasize the critical role of empathetic and supportive teacher-student interactions.

Peer Influence: Participants shared insights on the impact of peer relationships on student resilience. This theme aligns with Wentzel's (1998) findings on the significance of positive peer interactions in social and emotional development.

Family and Community Engagement: Teachers discussed the role of family involvement and community support in fostering resilience, drawing on Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory (1979) and the work of Christenson and Reschly (2010).

School Environment: The overall atmosphere of the school, including policies, curricula, and the general ethos, was discussed, and its impact on resilience was discussed. This theme was informed by Rutter's (1987) and Greenberg et al. (2003)'s work on supportive school environments.

The focus group data were transcribed verbatim and analyzed using thematic analysis, a method Braun and Clarke (2006) described as effective for identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns within qualitative data. Responses were coded and categorized under each theme, allowing for the emergence of patterns and insights related to resilience development in primary education.

The analysis revealed a nuanced understanding of how resilience is fostered in primary school settings, highlighting the importance of teacher-student relationships, peer interactions, family and community engagement, and the overall school environment. However, it also indicated a gap in the systematic implementation of strategies across these four key areas, echoing the findings of Ungar et al. (2007), which emphasize the need for a holistic approach to resilience in education. The results suggest that while some teachers are actively engaged in resilience-building practices, there is a need for more comprehensive and integrated approaches that address all aspects of the educational environment in fostering resilience among primary school students.

Results

From the thematic analysis of the focus group discussions with teachers, four key themes were identified: (a) student-teacher dynamic, (b) peer influence, (c) family and community engagement, and (d) school environment. Each of these themes encapsulates various factors that influence the development of resilience in students. The teachers' responses revealed efforts and challenges in fostering resilience, highlighting a mix of intention, hindered execution, and perceived barriers. Table 1 provides an overview of these themes, the associated sub-themes, and example extracts that illustrate the teachers' perspectives and experiences in addressing resilience in their educational practices.

Table 1: *Summary of Themes and Associated Sub-themes in Focus Group with Teachers*

Themes	Sub-themes	Example Extract
Student-Teacher Dynamic	Attempts at Building Relationships	*"I try to connect with students, but the class size and curriculum demands limit this." (Teacher 2)*
	Desire to Integrate Student Feedback	*"I see the value in student feedback but often struggle to find time to implement it effectively." (Teacher 6)*
Peer Influence	Efforts to Facilitate Interaction	*"I occasionally organize group activities, but it's challenging to monitor and guide these effectively." (Teacher 3)*
	Awareness but Limited Intervention in Conflicts	*"I'm aware of the importance of addressing conflicts, but often feel unprepared to handle them." (Teacher 7)*
Family and Community Engagement	Intent to Engage with Families	*"I try to involve parents, but often they're busy or unresponsive." (Teacher 1)*
	Recognizing Importance of Community Links	*"Our school lacks strong community ties." (Teacher 5)*
School Environment	Willingness to Promote Resilience	*"I'd like to focus more on resilience, but our curriculum is heavily academically oriented." (Teacher 4)*
	Acknowledging the Need for Emotional Learning	*"I feel emotional learning is crucial, but there's a lack of guidance on how to integrate it." (Teacher 8)*

Section 1: Student-Teacher Dynamic

The "Student-Teacher Dynamic" theme reflects the critical nature of relationships between teachers and students in fostering resilience (Masten & Barnes, 2018). Teachers expressed challenges in balancing professional boundaries with nurturing connections, echoing Howard & Johnson (2000)'s emphasis on the role of teacher-student interactions in resilience development. For instance, one teacher noted, "*I try to connect with students, but class size limits this*" (Teacher 2), illustrating the practical constraints highlighted in the work of Engdahl and Furu (2022).

Section 2: Peer Influence

The "Peer Influence" theme underscores the role of peer interactions in students' resilience (Wentzel, 2021). Teachers recognized the importance of fostering positive peer relationships but often felt unequipped to facilitate or monitor these interactions effectively. A teacher stated, "*I am aware of peer importance but feel unprepared to handle conflict*s" (Teacher 7), highlighting a gap in teachers' preparedness to cultivate supportive peer environments, as discussed by Heikkilä et al. (2022).

Section 3: Family and Community Engagement

"Family and Community Engagement" emphasizes the external support networks contributing to children's resilience development (Millican & Middleton, 2020). Teachers noted attempts to engage families in the educational process, yet they often faced challenges such as limited parental involvement and communication barriers. This reflects the view that resilience is a shared capacity within communities, as mentioned by Ungar (2021), illustrated by a teacher's remark: *"I try to involve parents, but they are often busy or unresponsive"* (Teacher 1).

Section4: School Environment

The "School Environment" theme addresses the institutional setting's influence on student resilience (Gruenewald & Smith, 2008). Teachers acknowledged the potential role of educational settings in fostering resilience but pointed to a need for more specific programs and policies focused on this aspect. A teacher commented, *"Our curriculum is too academically oriented for resilience-building"* (Teacher 4), highlighting the need for educational policies that prioritize holistic student development, as advocated in the critical pedagogy of place (Gruenewald, 2003).

These themes, grounded in contemporary resilience theory and educational pedagogy, reveal a complex landscape in which teachers strive to foster resilience among students. The challenges highlighted by the teachers, ranging from individual relationship-building to systemic educational practices, suggest a need for more comprehensive strategies and resources to develop resilience effectively in educational settings.

Discussions and Conclusions

Based on the thematic analysis of the focus group discussions with teachers, this study sheds light on the critical areas of Student-Teacher Dynamic, Peer Influence, Family and Community Engagement, and School

Environment in fostering student resilience. The teachers' narratives reveal a complex interplay of intentions, challenges, and constraints that shape their ability to cultivate resilience in educational settings.

Teachers acknowledged the importance of nurturing relationships with students yet faced challenges balancing these with professional obligations and curriculum demands (Pianta & Walsh, 1998). This reflects the historical role of schools as safe havens and support systems for children (Fagan, 2000; Goldstein, 2014), indicating that while the intent to foster resilience through teacher-student relationships exists, systemic constraints often limit its realization.

The role of peer interactions in building resilience was recognized, but teachers often needed to prepare to facilitate effective peer relationships (Luthar & Eisenberg, 2017). This finding underscores the need for professional development and support systems that equip teachers with the skills to manage and foster positive peer dynamics, a crucial component of social resilience in school settings.

While teachers attempted to engage families and communities, limited parental involvement and communication barriers were common challenges. This points to the need for stronger school-community partnerships and more effective strategies for family engagement, aligning with the systemic interdependence framework where resilience is seen as a characteristic of the collective rather than the individual (Masten, 2014; Werner, 2006).

Teachers expressed a willingness to promote resilience within the school environment but highlighted the lack of focused programs and policies. This echoes the broader discourse on the role of schools in fostering students' psychological wellness and competence (Masten, 2014; Rutter, 1979). The findings suggest that schools must integrate resilience-building strategies into their curricula and policies, emphasizing the whole child's development.

In conclusion, while teachers recognize their role in fostering resilience, systemic challenges often hinder their efforts. This study underscores the need for a multi-dimensional approach to resilience in education, encompassing professional development for teachers, enhanced school-community collaborations, and systemic changes in educational policies and practices. Such an approach aligns with contemporary research and conceptual frameworks of resilience (Masten & Coatsworth, 1998), emphasizing the need for classroom-level interventions that contribute to students' psychological wellness and strengthen their competence. Moving forward, schools and educators should be supported to create classroom environments conducive to the success of all students, particularly those facing significant adversities.

Study Limitations

This study has several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, the sample size of primary school teachers involved in the research needs to be improved, potentially limiting the generalizability of the findings. Second, participants' responses may have been influenced by social desirability bias, affecting the accuracy of the results. Furthermore, group dynamics within the focus group discussions, such as dominant voices and participant hesitance, could have shaped the nature of responses and discussions. Lastly, some participants may have yet to fully express their opinions, potentially leading to gaps in the depth of insights obtained.

To enhance future research in this area, it is recommended to include educators from diverse urban and rural settings and to consider a mixed-methods approach combining surveys and individual interviews for a more comprehensive analysis of resilience factors in primary education.

References

Bandura, A. (1977). *Social learning theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Bowlby, J. (1969). *Attachment and loss: Vol. 1. Attachment*. New York: Basic Books.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*, *3*(2), 77-101 https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Chen, J. J. L. (2005). Relation of academic support from parents, teachers, and peers to Hong Kong adolescents' academic achievement: The mediatic role of academic engagement. *Genetic, Social, and General Psychology Monographs, 131* (2), 77-127. https://doi.org/10.3200/MONO.131.2.77-127

Cohen, J., Espelage, D. L., Twemlow, S. W., Berkowitz, M. W., & Comer, J. P. (2015). Rethinking effective bully and violence prevention efforts: Promoting healthy school climates, positive youth development, and preventing bully-victim-bystander behaviour. *International Journal of Violence and Schools, 15*, 2–40.

Cohen, S., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (1972). 2011. *Folk devils and moral panics*. https://nellshawcohen.com/pdf/Triptych.pdf

Christenson, S. L., & Reschly, A. L. (Eds.). (2010). *Handbook of school-family partnerships*. Routledge.

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behaviour. *Psychological Inquiry, 11,* 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01

Doll, B., & Song, S. Y. (2023). Enhancing resilience in classrooms. In *Handbook of resilience in children* (pp. 525-537). Cham: Springer International Publishing. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-14728-9_28.

Engdahl, I., & Furu, A. C. (2022). Early childhood education: A vibrant arena in the complex transformation of society towards sustainability. *International Journal of Early Childhood*, *54*(1), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13158-022-00323-0

Fagan, T. K. (2000). Practicing school psychology: A turn-of-the-century perspective. *American Psychologist, 55* (7), 754–757.

Garmezy, N. (1991). Resiliency and vulnerability to adverse developmental outcomes associated with poverty. *American Behavioral Scientist*, *34*(4), 416–430. https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764291034004003

Gherasim, L. R., & Butnaru, S. (2013). *School performance: Individual and contextual determinants in adolescence*. Iaşi: Editura Polirom.

Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence*. New York, NY: Bantam Books.

Greenberg, M. T., Weissberg, R. P., O'Brien, M. U., Zins, J. E., Fredericks, L., Resnik, H., & Elias, M. J. (2003). Enhancing school-based prevention and youth development through coordinated social, emotional, and academic learning. *American psychologist*, *58*(6-7), 466. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.58.6-7.466

Gruenewald, D. A., & Smith, G. A. (2008). Creating a movement to ground learning in place. *Place-based education in the global age: Local diversity*, 345-358.

Gruenewald, D. A. (2003). The best of both worlds: A critical pedagogy of place. *Educational researcher*, *32*(4), 3-12.

Heikkilä, M., Hellman, A., Rantala, A., Furu, A. C., & Lillvist, A. (2022). Teachers' understanding of children's needs in the time of coronavirus: norms on children among Finnish and Swedish ECEC teachers.

Henderson, N., & Milstein, M. M. (2003). *Resiliency in schools: Making it happen for students and educators*. Corwin press.

Howard, S., & Johnson, B. (2000). What makes the difference? Children and teachers talk about resilient outcomes for children at risk. *Educational Studies*, 26, 321–337. https://doi.org/10.1080/03055690050137132

Luthar, S. S., & Eisenberg, N. (2017). Resilient adaptation among at-risk children: Harnessing science toward maximizing salutary environments. *Child development*, *88*(2), 337-349. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12737

Maslow, A. H. (1943). A theory of human motivation. *Psychological Review*, 50, (4), pp. 370–396. http://www.ir.harambeeuniversity.edu.et/bitstream/handle/123456789/606/A%20Theory%20Of%20Human%20Motivation.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

Masten, A. S., & Coatsworth, J. D. (1998). The development of competence in favorable and unfavorable environments: Lessons from research on successful children. *American psychologist*, *53*(2), 205 https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.53.2.205

Masten, A. S., & Barnes, A. J. (2018). Resilience in children: Developmental perspectives. *Children*, *5*(7), 98. https://doi.org/10.3390/children5070098

Masten, A. S., & Reed, M. G. J. (2002). Resilience in development. *Handbook of positive psychology*, *74*, 88.

Millican, R., & Middleton, T. (2020). A need for resilience. *Reconsidering Resilience in Education: An Exploration using the Dynamic Interactive Model of Resilience*, 3-16

Pânişoară, G., Sălăvăstru, D., & Mitrofan, L. (2016). *Childhood and adolescence: Current challenges in educational and developmental psychology*. Iaşi: Editura Polirom.

Pianta, R. C., & Walsh, D. J. (1998). Applying the construct of resilience in schools: Cautions from a developmental systems perspective. *School Psychology Review*, *27*(3), 407-417

Rogers, C. R. (1961). *On becoming a person: A therapist's view of psychotherapy*. London: Constable.

Rutter, M. (1987). Psychosocial resilience and protective mechanisms. *American journal of orthopsychiatry*, *57*(3), 316-331.

Rutter, M. (1979). *Fifteen thousand hours: Secondary schools and their effects on children*. Harvard University Press.

Seligman, M. E., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2000). *Positive psychology: An introduction* (Vol. 55, No. 1, p. 5). American Psychological Association

Silverman, D. (2016). Introducing qualitative research. *Qualitative research*, *3*(3), 14-25.

Skinner, B. F. (1957). *Verbal behaviour*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.

Ungar, M., Brown, M., Liebenberg, L., Othman, R., Kwong, W. M., Armstrong, M., & Gilgun, J. (2007). Unique pathways to resilience across cultures. *Adolescence*, *42*(166)

Vygotsky, L. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Wentzel, K. R. (1998). Social relationships and motivation in middle school: The role of parents, teachers, and peers. *Journal of educational psychology*, *90*(2), 202

Werner, D. (2006). *Funktionalanalysis*. Springer-Verlag.