Postmodern Openings
ISSN: 2068-0236 | e-ISSN: 2069-9387
Abstracting & Indexing |EBSCO; ICI Journals Master List - Index Copernicus; CEEOL; Ideas RePeC; Econpapers; Socionet; Philpapers; KVK; WorldCat; CrossRef; Google Scholar

2025, Volume 16, Issue 1, pages: 1-9 | https://doi.org/10.18662/po/16.1/616
Submitted: June 30, 2025 | *Accepted for publication:* October 10, 2025

Families in Transition: Millennial Challenges and a Systemic Family Therapy Case Study

Romulus-Dan NICOARĂ [1]

[1] "Iuliu Haţieganu" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Cluj- Napoca, Romania; daninicoara@yahoo.it; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0002-9979-0008

Abstract: *This case study looks at the difficulties faced by a Millennial couple in trying to harmonize their work and family life through systemic family therapy. The two partners, married and parents of three children, reported an intensification of conflicts, an unequal division of tasks and a decrease in intimacy. During nine sessions, interventions were applied that combined structural, strategic, transgenerational and narrative perspectives, with the aim of strengthening the couple relationship, rebalancing responsibilities and introducing rituals meant to improve dialogue. The results highlighted the reduction in the intensity of conflicts, increased emotional closeness and positive changes in children's behavior. The findings highlight that Millennials' values—equality, conscious parenting, and work-life balance—can represent both resources and sources of tension in family life, highlighting the role of systemic therapy in supporting the resilience of contemporary families.*

Keywords: *Millennials; family transitions; systemic family therapy; couple dynamics; work–life balance; case study.*

How to cite: Nicoară, R.-D. (2025). Families in transition: millennial challenges and a systemic family therapy case study. *Postmodern Openings, 16*(1), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.18662/po/16.1/616

©2025 Published by In Manifest Network in partnership with LUMEN Publishing. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Introduction

In recent decades, Millennial families have faced unprecedented social, economic, and cultural pressures. The pursuit of demanding careers, expectations for conscious parenting, and the struggle for work–life balance create tensions between ideals and reality. Most Millennial parents report difficulties in sharing domestic responsibilities, managing parental guilt, and maintaining emotional communication within the couple (Howell, 2024). At the same time, their egalitarian values and psychological awareness lead them to seek more collaborative and sensitive therapeutic approaches.

Systemic family therapy, which conceptualizes problems not as individual deficits but as dysfunctions of relational and systemic interactions, offers an appropriate framework for intervention in these complex contexts (von Sydow, 2024; Varghese, 2020). By integrating structural, strategic, transgenerational, and narrative perspectives, systemic therapy seeks to reconfigure family structures, clarify boundaries, and redefine communication patterns. For example, structural family therapy emphasizes the importance of clear subsystems and healthy boundaries to balance intimacy and autonomy (Minuchin, 2018).

Furthermore, developments in Bowen Family Systems Theory highlight the importance of differentiation of self and transgenerational emotional processes in understanding family tensions (Calatrava et al., 2022; Brown & Errington, 2024). Differentiation of self—the ability to balance emotion and reason without being overwhelmed by relational anxiety—is central to reducing emotional fusion and reactivity within the couple (Calatrava et al., 2022).

Against this theoretical and social background, this article presents a case study of a Millennial couple with three children, experiencing imbalances in domestic responsibilities, recurring conflicts, and decreased emotional intimacy. The aims are twofold: (1) to explore how Millennial values—such as equality, conscious parenting, and work–life balance—can act both as resources and as stressors, and (2) to analyze how systemic family therapy can intervene to reorganize relationships and foster family resilience.

Millennial families are frequently described as living at the intersection of accelerated professional demands, evolving gender roles, and heightened expectations for conscious parenting (Howell, 2024). This generation is characterized by aspirations for equality in domestic and parental roles, while simultaneously experiencing structural challenges that limit the full realization of these ideals (Pew Research Center, 2020). Research shows that Millennials value both personal development and

intensive parenting, but often report feelings of chronic fatigue, stress, and marital dissatisfaction when attempting to balance career and family (Pew Research Center, 2020).

Systemic family therapy provides a conceptual and practical framework to address such challenges. Structural family therapy (Minuchin, 2018) emphasizes the need for clear boundaries between subsystems and for strengthening the couple subsystem, often weakened by overwhelming parental responsibilities. Strategic approaches (Haley, 2015) highlight recurring interactional patterns—such as demand–withdraw cycles—that maintain conflict and require intervention. From a transgenerational perspective, Bowen (1978) argued that unresolved emotional processes in families of origin influence marital dynamics through invisible loyalties and differentiation of self. Recent reviews (Calatrava et al., 2022; Brown & Errington, 2024) confirm that differentiation of self remains a critical factor in understanding family resilience.

Furthermore, narrative therapy contributes by reframing conflicts not as failures of the partners but as differences in strategies toward a shared goal (White & Epston, 1990). This perspective is particularly relevant for Millennial couples, whose conflicts often reflect tensions between their generational values (equality, work–life balance) and external socio-economic pressures. Empirical studies suggest that integrating structural, strategic, and narrative techniques can reduce marital dissatisfaction and foster resilience in contemporary families (von Sydow, 2024; Varghese, 2020).

In addition, recent cross-cultural research highlights how Millennials' family experiences are shaped by broader societal trends, such as economic instability, digitalization of work, and shifting gender expectations (Arnett, 2015; Qian & Hu, 2024). These contextual factors interact with personal values, influencing not only marital satisfaction but also parenting practices and intergenerational relationships. Therefore, systemic interventions must remain sensitive to the interplay between micro-level family dynamics and macro-level cultural and economic pressures. The literature converges on the conclusion that Millennials' struggles cannot be understood in isolation, but rather as embedded in wider social transitions that redefine family life in the 21st century.

Methodology

Research Design: This study employed a qualitative case study design, which is widely used in clinical research to deepen the dynamics of families (Yin, 2018). A case study format is particularly favorable for systemic family medicine, as it allows the practitioner-researcher to

document internal procedures and therapeutic changes within the family system (Barker et al., 2021).

Participants: The participants were a millennium couple, both in their mid -30s, married for 12 years, with three children, aged 10, 7 and 4. Both partners were employed in demand for professional roles. At the time of referral, he reported to increase marital conflict, emotional disturbances, and difficulties in balanced professional and family responsibilities. To ensure privacy, the term pseudo is used and the identity of details is modified.

Procedure: The therapeutic process consisted of nine weekly sessions, each session of about 60 minutes. Following systemic medical principles, the sessions were held in a private practice setting. The intervention structural (Minuchin, 2018), Strategic (Haley, 2015), Transgender (Bowen, 1978), and Katha (White and Epston, 1990) were designed from approaches. Techniques included boundary clarification, rebuilding conflicts, geneogram construction, initiatives and couple rituals.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion in the study was limited to adult couples who had been in a stable marital relationship for at least a decade and who had at least one minor child under care. Eligible participants were those who reported recurrent difficulties in managing work-life balance, unequal division of household tasks, and diminished couple intimacy. An essential condition for participation was the willingness of both partners to actively engage in the therapeutic process, as well as the expression of informed consent for participation and for the use of anonymized clinical data for research purposes, in accordance with the ethical principles in force.

The exclusion from the study was applied in situations where the clinical or relational context endangered the safety of the participants or compromised the validity of the therapeutic approach. Thus, couples in which one or both partners had severe psychiatric disorders (such as psychosis or severe personality disorders) or active substance use were not included. Cases of severe domestic violence or imminent risk of abuse, which required specialized protective interventions beyond the framework of systemic family therapy, were also excluded. Participation was also not possible in situations where one of the partners refused to be involved in therapy, because this aspect prevented the couple's intervention from taking place, or in the absence of valid informed consent.

Data were gathered through: Clinical observation and session transcripts; Self-reports by the partners regarding perceived relational changes.

Dyadic Adjustment Scale (DAS) (Spanier, 1976), a standardized self-report measure designed to assess the quality of marital and similar dyadic relationships. The DAS includes 32 items distributed across four subscales: Dyadic Consensus (degree of agreement on matters such as finances, recreation, friends, and household tasks), Dyadic Satisfaction (perceived stability and satisfaction with the relationship), Dyadic Cohesion (shared activities and emotional closeness), and Affectional Expression (agreement regarding affection and sexual relations). The instrument has been widely used in clinical and research settings, demonstrating strong reliability and validity, and is therefore appropriate for evaluating therapeutic outcomes in couple therapy. Administered at the beginning and end of the intervention to measure marital satisfaction and adjustment.

Ethical Considerations: Informed consent was obtained from both partners, who agreed to participate in therapy and to have anonymized data included for research purposes. Ethical principles regarding confidentiality, non-maleficence, and respect for autonomy were strictly observed (American Psychological Association, 2017). No financial incentives were provided.

Results

At the outset of therapy, the couple presented with high relational distress, frequent arguments, and significant emotional distance. The wife reported feeling overburdened with childcare and domestic tasks, while the husband emphasized the pressure of long working hours and financial responsibility. Both partners expressed dissatisfaction with the quality of their relationship, describing a sense of living "in parallel worlds." The atmosphere during the initial sessions was tense, with interruptions, defensive postures, and limited capacity for mutual listening.

Over the course of nine sessions, observable changes occurred in their communication style, emotional availability, and ability to collaborate. Clinical notes reflected a gradual reduction in hostility and an increased capacity for reflective dialogue. These changes were supported by the therapeutic interventions described below.

During the first two sessions, the couple expressed high distress and mutual frustration. Conflicts revolved mainly around the unequal division of household tasks and the husband's long working hours, which limited his participation in parenting. Both partners reported emotional distancing, with the wife describing "feeling like roommates" and the husband acknowledging avoidance of conflicts through overinvestment in work. The therapeutic focus was on building a working alliance, clarifying goals, and externalizing the problem as a relational pattern rather than individual blame.

By the third session, interventions aimed at strengthening the couple subsystem were introduced. The partners were encouraged to define clear boundaries between the couple and parental roles. Through enactments, they practiced redistributing household responsibilities and negotiating shared parenting tasks. Early resistance was noted, especially from the husband, who initially minimized the importance of his domestic involvement. However, the introduction of a task-sharing chart and weekly planning meetings facilitated a more concrete approach.

In mid-therapy, the focus shifted toward emotional intimacy. Reframing exercises helped the couple recognize that both partners desired a cohesive family life but used different strategies to achieve it. The therapist introduced couple rituals (e.g., a weekly evening walk without children, shared leisure activities), which promoted reconnection. By session six, the wife reported perceiving more emotional availability from her husband, while he acknowledged feeling less criticized and more appreciated.

Using genogram work, the couple explored family-of-origin influences. The wife recognized patterns of over-responsibility modeled by her mother, while the husband acknowledged conflict-avoidance behaviors learned from his parents. These insights allowed for greater differentiation of self and reduced transgenerational transmission of dysfunctional patterns. The children's involvement in structured family meetings also helped to clarify roles, reducing the eldest child's parentification and enhancing cooperation among siblings.

In the final session, progress was consolidated. Both partners reported significant improvements in communication, decreased conflict escalation, and increased shared decision-making. They agreed to continue their couple rituals and planning meetings as preventive strategies. The therapist highlighted the family's ability to mobilize Millennial values—such as equality and conscious parenting—as resources for resilience.

The Dyadic Adjustment Scale (DAS) scores reflected the clinical improvements. The couple's initial score of 85 indicated marital distress, particularly low in consensus and cohesion. After nine sessions, their score increased to 112, approaching the range of non-distressed couples. Improvements were most evident in Consensus and Satisfaction, with moderate progress in Cohesion and more modest gains in Affectional Expression.

Changes in the couple subsystem reverberated throughout the family system. The children displayed fewer conflict-related behaviors and increased age-appropriate autonomy. The eldest child, previously over-involved in caregiving, was relieved of excessive responsibilities. The overall family climate shifted from tension and criticism toward cooperation, emotional warmth, and resilience.

Discussion

The findings of this case study highlight the ability of systemic family medicine to solve the complex challenges experienced by Millennial joints. The therapeutic procedure improved communication, redistribution of domestic responsibilities, and emotional intimacy. These results suit research that suggests that systemic intervention is effective in reducing matrimonial conflict and is effective in promoting flexibility by targeting intraactive patterns rather than individual pathology (von Sidow, 2024; Varghese, 2020).

One of the most important results was the couple's ability to re - organize the roles of domestic and parents. It aligns with widespread generational trends: Millennias emphasize egalitarian values and shared parenting, but often struggle with practical realities to balance work and family demands (Pew Research Center, 2020). A couple shift towards a more balanced division of responsibilities supports the argument that therapy can facilitate alignment between generational ideals and living family practices (Qian & Hu, 2024).

The use of couple rituals and reframing techniques contributed to emotional reconnection. These findings resonate with narrative therapy approaches, which reframe conflicts as differences in strategies rather than failures of the partners (White & Epston, 1990). Such interventions appear particularly relevant for Millennials, who value authenticity and relational transparency in intimate relationships (Arnett, 2015).

Exploration of transgenerational dynamics through genogram work revealed inherited patterns of over-responsibility and conflict avoidance. This underscores Bowen's (1978) emphasis on differentiation of self and transgenerational emotional processes. Recent reviews confirm that differentiation remains a central factor in fostering family resilience across contexts (Calatrava et al., 2022; Brown & Errington, 2024).

Quantitative results further support these conclusions. The Dyadic Adjustment Scale (Spanier, 1976) showed a substantial increase from distressed to near non-distressed functioning, particularly in consensus and satisfaction. These gains illustrate how targeted systemic interventions can produce measurable improvements in relationship quality.

Finally, the systemic ripple effects on children highlight an important dimension: when the couple subsystem becomes more cohesive, improvements cascade across the family system. This finding aligns with structural family therapy's central premise that strengthening subsystems enhances overall family functioning (Minuchin, 2018).

In summary, this case study contributes to the literature by illustrating how systemic therapy can help Millennial families navigate the

tensions between their generational values and the socio-economic pressures of contemporary life. The results emphasize the importance of adapting therapeutic interventions to the cultural and generational context, demonstrating both relational and systemic benefits.

Conclusions

This case study illustrates how systemic family therapy can effectively address the challenges faced by Millennial couples in balancing work, family, and personal aspirations. The therapeutic process facilitated improvements in communication, redistribution of household responsibilities, and emotional intimacy, as reflected both in clinical observations and in the significant increase in Dyadic Adjustment Scale scores.

A key contribution of this study lies in showing how Millennial values—such as gender equality, conscious parenting, and the pursuit of work–life balance—can serve as both stressors and resources within family life. Systemic interventions helped the couple mobilize these values constructively, transforming potential conflicts into opportunities for collaboration and growth.

The findings also highlight the systemic impact of couple-level changes: strengthening the marital subsystem produced positive ripple effects across the entire family system, including improvements in children's behavior and overall family climate.

From a broader perspective, this study reinforces the relevance of systemic therapy in contemporary contexts marked by rapid socio-economic and cultural transformations. While limited by its single-case design, the study suggests directions for future research, including comparative analyses of generational differences in family dynamics and larger-scale evaluations of systemic interventions.

Ultimately, systemic family therapy proves to be a flexible and culturally sensitive approach, capable of fostering resilience in families navigating the transitions and uncertainties of postmodern life.

Statement on the Use of AI Tools | *No AI-assisted technologies were used in the writing, editing, or data analysis for this manuscript. All work is original and completed solely by the listed author.*

References

American Psychological Association. (2017). *Ethical principles of psychologists and code of conduct*. American Psychological Association. https://www.apa.org/ethics/code/

Arnett, J. J. (2015). *Emerging adulthood: The winding road from the late teens through the twenties* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press

Barker, C., Pistrang, N., & Elliott, R. (2021). *Research methods in clinical psychology: An introduction for students and practitioners* (3rd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell

Bowen, M. (1978). *Family therapy in clinical practice*. Jason Aronson

Brown, J., & Errington, L. (2024). Bowen family systems theory and practice: Illustration and critique revisited. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Family Therapy*, *45*(2), 135-155.

Calatrava, M., Riso, D. D., & Pérez-Testor, C. (2022). Differentiation of self: A scoping review of Bowen Family Systems Theory. *Clinical Psychology Review, 91,* 102112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2021.102112

Haley, J. (2015). *Problem-solving therapy* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass

Howell, L. (2024). Generational theory as a proxy for consumer behavior in parenting. *Journal of Family Theory & Review, 16*(2), 245–258. https://doi.org/10.1111/jftr.12512

Minuchin, S. (2018). *Families and family therapy* (40th anniversary ed.). Routledge

Pew Research Center. (2020, April 28). *Millennials overtake Baby Boomers as America's largest generation*. https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2020/04/28/millennials-overtake-baby-boomers-as-americas-largest-generation/

Qian, Y., & Hu, Y. (2024). The digitalization of family life: A multilevel conceptual framework. *Journal of Marriage and Family, 86*(5), 1160–1183. https://doi.org/10.1111/jomf.12983

Spanier, G. B. (1976). Measuring dyadic adjustment: New scales for assessing the quality of marriage and similar dyads. *Journal of Marriage and Family, 38*(1), 15–28. https://doi.org/10.2307/350547

Varghese, M. (2020). Family interventions: Basic principles and techniques. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry, 62*(Suppl 2), S255–S263. https://doi.org/10.4103/psychiatry.IndianJPsychiatry_775_19

von Sydow, K. (2024). Systemic psychotherapy: An introduction to its theoretical foundations and practice. *Frontiers in Psychology, 15,* 1399781. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1399781

White, M., & Epston, D. (1990). *Narrative means to therapeutic ends*. W. W. Norton

Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). Sage